Regulation of dendrimer/dextran material performance by altered tissue microenvironment in inflammation and neoplasia
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A “one material fits all” mindset ignores profound differences in target tissues that affect their responses and reactivity. Yet little attention has been paid to the role of diseased tissue on material performance, biocompatibility, and healing capacity. We assessed material-tissue interactions with a prototypical adhesive material based on dendrimer/dextran and colon as a model tissue platform. Adhesive materials have high sensitivity to changes in their environment and can be exploited to probe and quantify the influence of even subtle modifications in tissue architecture and biology. We studied inflammatory colitis and colon cancer and found not only a difference in adhesion related to surface chemical interactions but also the existence of a complex interplay that determined the overall dendrimer/dextran biomaterial compatibility. Compatibility was contextual, not simply a constitutive property of the material, and was related to the extent and nature of immune cells in the diseased environment present before material implantation. We then showed how to use information about local alterations of the tissue microenvironment to assess disease severity. This turned guided us to an optimal dendrimer/dextran formulation choice using a predictive model based on clinically relevant conditions.

INTRODUCTION

Biomaterials are increasingly used to support or reprogram their complex biological microenvironment either by providing structural stability and mechanical restoration or by serving as scaffolds for local drug release or for embedding of cells. Further, materials have been functionalized with biological sequences of natural components to attract reparative endogenous cells and modulate their phenotypes (1–5). Despite the use of such materials in injured, inflamed, or diseased environments, little has been reported about the impact of disease on the material itself and whether the material performs its intended action in selected environments. Materials with adequate efficacy in a healthy environment might demonstrate suboptimal performance and altered host material responses in diseased settings. Although the inflammatory response to material exposure follows an orderly sequence of events, preexisting processes such as inflammation might set this order awry. The sequence of early neutrophil invasion followed by macrophage infiltration and eventually the formation of foreign body giant cells is modified in a disease state (6). Disease alters the basal immune state, because immune cells are present at the site of injury well before any material is implanted. Such is the case of inflammatory diseases, in which the preexisting tissue reactivity might alter tissue response to a material. We envisioned that rational development of materials with optimal healing capacity can be enabled only in the context of the intended clinical use of the material and with a deep understanding of the local environment.

We used the gastrointestinal tract as a model system to study the impact of disease on the tissue microenvironment and two disease models—inflammation and neoplasia—which we surmised would span a range of cellular responses and a spectrum of reactions to a material. Adhesive materials are ideal candidates with which to study the effects of gut disease on performance given their intimate interaction with tissue in this defined locale and their clinical relevance in mediating complications associated with leakage after surgical gut repair (7). We recently showed that adhesive dendrimer/dextran-based materials facilitate the probing of local changes in tissue surface chemistry by virtue of their distinct interactions with different target tissues (7, 8). Here, we exploit this feature to examine, in our model systems, the effects of disease-driven alterations in local tissue state on the tissue microenvironment and the clinical implications of such alterations. We show that carcinogenesis and inflammation of the colon are two distinct processes that present substantially different surfaces—driven by their biochemistry and cell biology—to the external environment. Interaction of the dendrimer/dextran material with tissue surfaces and tissue responses to this adhesive material were altered in a disease type– and pathological state–dependent manner. The interrelationship between material science and tissue biology should be considered as we seek to facilitate predictive and controlled material performance in specific clinical scenarios. Concepts learned from these studies might illuminate general guidelines by which to assess material-tissue interactions and their disease-driven alterations.

RESULTS

Characterization of tissue surface chemistry and material performance in colon cancer and colitis

We used two different animal models for studying the impact of local pathology on dendrimer/dextran performance across species and recapitulated the chemical, structural, and biological cues characteristic
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of a particular disease in a specific location. We used a commercially available rat orthotopic model for colon cancer and developed a colitis model in rabbits that provided sufficient tissue biopsies to conduct mechanical testing, characterize tissue chemistry, and build a predictive model that informs material choice for each disease severity.

Dextran aldehyde reacts internally with dendrimer amines to form a hydrogel and with tissue amines to provide adhesion. To understand the extent to which tissue surface chemistry was altered by cancer or colitis in our model systems, we quantified the interaction of aldehyde-coated microspheres with healthy and diseased tissues as a measure of tissue-amine binding sites. We hypothesized that microsphere adhesion in colon cancer would exhibit alterations in concert with changes in tissue surface amine density. Indeed, a significant increase in amine density was detected with fluorescent aldehyde-coated microspheres in cancerous colon tissue (Fig. 1A, i and ii), which displayed a greater number of chemical groups that were available for material interaction. A concomitant increase in material adhesion (Fig. 1A, iii and iv) was detected by fluorescence intensity at the interfacial region (defined as the area of the biomaterial that interacts with the tissue and has a morphology distinct from the rest of the material) (fig. S1, A and B).

Smaller and fewer pores of reduced area ($U$, interfacial pore area) were present in a narrower interfacial region ($W$, interfacial width; $W = 104.7 \pm 20.8\, \mu\text{m}$ and $U = 5.85 \times 10^2 \pm 7.77 \times 10^2\, \mu\text{m}^2$ for cancerous rat tissue and $W = 130.3 \pm 27.9\, \mu\text{m}$ and $U = 5.44 \times 10^3 \pm 1.75 \times 10^3\, \mu\text{m}^2$ for healthy rat tissue; fig. S1, C and D; $P < 0.05$ by two-tailed $t$ test for independent samples), which indicated that interfacial adhesion and integration of the material were enhanced. Macroscopic force measurement of adhesion failure (as determined with a mechanical tester; see Materials and Methods) rose 43% (from 0.566 ± 0.08 N to 0.808 ± 0.04 N) for colon cancer tissue compared to healthy colon tissue (Fig. 1C; $P < 0.05$, by two-tailed $t$ test for independent samples; data tabulated in table S1). This increase in maximum load correlated with observed microscopic changes, as indicated by higher interfacial fluorescence and amine density.

In contrast, amine density was lower in inflammatory colitis compared to the healthy state (Fig. 1B, i and ii). Material-tissue adhesion was
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**Fig. 1.** Assessment of tissue/material interactions and mechanical properties as a function of disease type. (A) Amine density on the colon serosal layer was assessed by aldehyde-coated fluorescent microspheres (green) in rat healthy (i) and cancerous (ii) tissues (red, stained with propidium iodide); and dendrimer/dextran adhesive (green, tagged with fluorescein) morphology on the colon serosal layer was assessed when applied to rat healthy (iii) and neoplastic (iv) tissues (red, stained with propidium iodide). B, bulk material; I, interfacial region; T, serosal tissue. (B) Aldehyde-coated fluorescent microspheres (green) and dendrimer/dextran morphology (green, tagged with fluorescein) applied to rabbit healthy (i and iii) and inflamed (ii and iv) tissues (red, stained with propidium iodide). (C and D) Maximum load at failure measured for (C) healthy and cancerous tissues and (D) healthy and inflamed tissues. (E) Maximum load at failure measured for different material formulations (dextran aldehyde solid contents from 7.5 to 25% with fixed dendrimer amine solid content of 20%) on healthy rabbit colon ($P < 0.05$). Dextran aldehyde solid content can be tuned to match the surface amine density of the target tissue. (F) By increasing dextran aldehyde solid content (Dex) from 10 to 25%, statistically equal levels of adhesion can be achieved in healthy and inflamed tissues, respectively. For all mechanical testing data, $n=5$ for each experimental group. In each graph, data marked with the same symbol represent statistical significance, with $P < 0.05$. 

Determination of diseased-tissue surface chemistry by collagen quantification

To understand the underlying mechanism that mediated tissue surface chemistry modifications in the context of cancer and colitis, we characterized the primary tissue proteins in healthy and diseased tissues. Collagen I accounts for ~70% of the total collagen in gastrointestinal tissues (10). Using cryosectioned tissue, we measured a significant increase in collagen content in vitro in the presence of neoplasia (fig. S2). The same trend was observed when we quantified collagen and bound microspheres on healthy and cancerous tissue surfaces (en face) with an in vivo imaging system. These findings suggest that a higher number of amine groups reside on the surface of cancerous versus healthy colon tissue (Fig. 2A; data tabulated in table S4).

In contrast, inflammation is known to result in collagen degradation and tissue surface remodeling as a consequence of the release, by neutrophils, of factors that activate matrix metalloproteinases such as MMP-8 (also known as collagenase-2 or neutrophil collagenase). We hypothesized that disease-driven changes in collagen levels on the surface of the gut lumen, where the disease originates, would disseminate to the external surface of the gut—the serosa (peritoneum-facing)—where the material is applied. If this is the case, then alteration of tissue serosal surface chemistry would affect material performance.

To examine this hypothesis, we induced colitis in rabbits by instilling 2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfonic acid (DNBS) intracolonially and tested whether collagen content and, hence, amine concentration varied on the serosal side of the gut (Fig. 2, B and C). We observed a reduction in collagen content throughout the three layers of the intestinal wall of colitic tissue (Fig. 3A, i and iii), and this effect disseminated to the serosal layer, as evidenced by the reduction in en face collagen content (Fig. 3A, iv to vi) and in microsphere conjugation (Fig. 2B; data tabulated in table S5). There was a strong linear correlation ($R^2 = 0.99$, Fig. 2C) between serosal en face collagen I content and serosal tissue amines (as measured by microspheres conjugation), and this relation was maintained across species (rats and rabbits; Fig. 2C).

Deciphering the impact of disease severity on tissue microenvironment

Colitis presents clinically as a range of severities. We investigated whether differential luminal degrees of inflammation would disseminate to the serosal side in a disease severity–dependent manner. We instilled various concentrations of DNBS into rabbit colons to achieve a range of disease severities and then validated our model through quantification of tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and neutrophil recruitment in the gut (fig. S3A, i to vi). TNF-α is up-regulated in inflammatory bowel disease in humans (11) because it is released in the context of inflammation by immune surveillance macrophages present in the mucosal layer of the colon (12). TNF-α up-regulation then leads to neutrophil recruitment to the gut mucosa, tissue infiltration, and subsequent release of MMP-8, which degrades collagen in the basement membrane (13). Indeed, TNF-α release increased over a 7.4-fold range (from $30.9 \pm 8.57$ to $229.2 \pm 27.67$ in relative fluorescence) with the severity of induced colitis, whereas neutrophil recruitment was increased in a correlative manner (5.5-fold;
from 10.7 ± 13.51 to 60.4 ± 5.93 in relative fluorescence) (fig. S3B). As a result, we observed a correlative 1.7-fold decrease in basement membrane collagen (from 336.6 ± 8.05 to 218.7 ± 15.65 in relative fluorescence) (Fig. 3A, i to iii, and fig. S3B).

We also observed a linear correlation between en face collagen content in the serosa (Fig. 3A, iv to vi) and the extent of inflammation, as measured by the recruitment of neutrophils to the gut, thus corroborating the transmural nature of this disease in our rabbit model (fig. S4A, $R^2 = 0.97$). This decrease in serosal collagen level was further supported by a decrease in surface amine density, which correlated linearly with serosal collagen content (Fig. 4B, $R^2 = 0.94$). These findings suggest that one can use the rapid measure of surface amine density to guide one’s choice of adhesive properties in a dendrimer/dextran material in a way that is clinically relevant and yields information on disease severity. Such properties might facilitate a more personalized selection of materials for colitis treatment than is currently possible.

**Building a predictive model to guide material choice**

Colitic tissues with different degrees of inflammation were tested for amine density and adhesion strength using three different material formulations of varying aldehyde content (10, 15, and 20%). For a particular formulation, adhesion strength decreases with disease severity in a linear fashion. As we increase dextran aldehyde solid content, the slope of this curve increases, indicating higher adhesion strength (Fig. 3B). There is a linear correlation between the slope of these curves and dextran solid content ($R^2 = 0.99$, Fig. 3C). Hence, we can predict adhesion strength of a new formulation for any disease state using these relationships. Indeed, there was an excellent agreement between the calculated and predicted adhesion strengths of 25% dextran aldehyde formulation applied to tissue surfaces that are healthy or with mild and severe colitis ($R^2 = 0.99$, with errors smaller than 6%; Fig. 3D).

We envision performing rapid assessment of amine density in the operating room on patients’ biopsies to guide surgeons on the optimal material formulation to be used, in a patient-specific manner, such that adequate adhesion will be achieved.

**Evaluation of material biocompatibility and healing capacity in vivo**

We examined inflammation in the implant area as well as biological response to a dendrimer/dextran material (serosal heterophils) and healing capacity (serosal fibrosis) compared to use of sutures in vivo for healthy compared to colitic or cancerous tissues. Healthy rat colonic tissue appeared normal in structure (Fig. 4A, i, and fig. S5A, i to iii), and the colorectal cancer rat model verified the existence of a mass of abnormal tissue with differentiated cells forming the tumor (Fig. 4A, ii, and...
fig. S5A, iv to vi). Similarly, healthy rabbit colonic tissue appeared normal with intact mucosal and serosal layers (Fig. 4A, iii, and fig. S5B, i to iii), whereas colitic tissue presented as diffusely necrotic mucosa with an intense heterophilic inflammatory response (Fig. 4A, iv, and fig. S5B, iv to vi).

The levels of inflammation were not statistically distinguishable when dendrimer/dextran material was applied to the serosal layer of lacerated healthy or cancerous tissue in the presence or absence of the adhesive (Fig. 4, B and C). The difference in heterophil (that is, granular leukocytes) recruitment to the serosal layer was not statistically significant when sutures alone were used compared to sutures plus adhesive, both in healthy and cancerous states (Fig. 4, B and C). Serosal fibrosis was similar with and without adhesive application in healthy tissue (Fig. 4B; data tabulated in table S6). When sutures alone were applied, tissue fibrosis was reduced in the cancerous state compared to the healthy state. Application of adhesive material to cancerous tissue improved healing to levels comparable to those of healthy tissue, as measured by serosal fibrosis (Fig. 4C; data tabulated in table S7). Colitic tissue presents with higher total inflammation whether sutures alone or sutures plus material were applied, owing to the nature of the disease (Fig. 4E; data tabulated in table S9). The immune response to dendrimer/dextran was contextual; the number of serosal heterophils in lacerated healthy tissue was not altered upon material application to the serosal layer; in contrast, the difference in serosal heterophil numbers upon material application was statistically significant in the colitic state compared to sutures alone (Fig. 4, D and E; data tabulated in table S8). Similar to what was observed in the cancerous model, healing capacity...
(as measured by serosal fibrosis) after material application to the serosal layer in colitic tissue was improved compared to that of suture application alone, whereas healthy tissue presented good repair capacity irrespective of whether the adhesive material was used or not (Fig. 4, D and E).

**DISCUSSION**

Variations in tissue surface chemistry between different tissue surfaces dictate their interaction with our adhesive material in healthy states (7, 8). We showed herein that tissue surface chemistry was modified to an even greater extent by local pathology relative to variations between different tissues in the healthy state. Pathological states exerted profound effects on tissue/biomaterial interactions and material performance for dendrimer/dextran adhesive hydrogel. Tissue surface chemistry (amine content) and the biological microenvironment were significantly altered in the face of inflammation and neoplasia.

To understand the impact of tissue surface modification by a disease on dendrimer/dextran material performance, we quantified surface collagen levels as a predictive measure for adhesion strength. Amino acids from structural proteins are the main anchoring points for amine−reactive adhesives and thus can be used to define adhesion strength. We used serosal collagen I as a measure for assessing amine density because it comprises ~70% of all collagen and is the most prevalent protein in the gastrointestinal tract. Colorectal cancer is driven by unbridled cell proliferation and an abundant extracellular matrix response with marked elevation in internal collagen levels, which are reflected on the surface and subsequently accompanied by increased amine binding sites. This indeed resulted in a 43% increase in adhesion strength in tumoral compared to healthy tissue.

The question that arises is whether there would be a similar alteration in tissue surface chemistry in a disease that originates remotely from the tissue surface, as in the case of inflammatory colitis. The inflammatory reaction of colitis is most intense at the luminal side, presenting irregular or obliterated crypts, inflammatory cell infiltrates in the lamina propria, and abscess formation at the bottom of the crypts (14). Serosal surface−interacting materials such as adhesives are thus removed from the major source of tissue alteration, yet we observed that deep tissue injury manifested as reduced amine density on the serosa compared to healthy tissue. Inflammation elicits neutrophil recruitment across the colon, starting from the mucosa and covering the serosa. As the infiltrating neutrophils release metalloproteinases, they break down collagen to allow for tissue remodeling and healing. Indeed, adhesion strength in colitic tissue with ~80% less collagen I (Fig. 2B) was 58% lower than that achieved for healthy tissue (Fig. 1D).

The significant alteration of tissue surface chemistry in pathological states reiterates the importance of understanding the disease impact on the tissue microenvironment in the selection of dendrimer/dextran material formulation and also might be helpful in the design of other surface−interacting biomaterials.

Dendrimer/dextran performance was not only affected by different pathological processes, such as carcinogenesis and inflammation, but it is also affected by the state of a particular disease. For example, different severities of colitis imparted graded variation in tissue amine density and, hence, required different adhesive material formulations to achieve the desired adhesion level. We built a data set to create a predictive model that informed on the appropriate material formulation choice given a specific colitic severity (data points plotted in Fig. 3B). The data set described adhesion strengths for three different dendrimer/dextran material formulations as a function of a range of colitis severities (measured by amine density). A linear regression model was fit to each material formulation (Fig. 3B), and the slopes of the curves were plotted as a function of aldehyde solid content, yielding a linear relationship (Fig. 3C). The slope of a new material formulation with a defined dextran aldehyde solid content, 25%, was extrapolated from this fit (Fig. 3C, red triangle). The model was validated by comparing the measured adhesion strengths using a mechanical tester with the calculated ones after ex vivo application of the 25% solid content material formulation to tissues with three different degrees of colitis severities (Fig. 3D). As expected, there was an inverse linear correlation between disease severity and adhesion strength as a result of amine density loss (Fig. 3B). Providing more aldehyde groups to react with a tissue with low amine density can compensate for the reduction in adhesion strength resulting from collagen loss. The obtained linear relationships allowed us to construct a mathematical fit for the data, which in turn enabled us to predict the adhesion strength of a completely new dendrimer/dextran formulation when applied to either healthy, mild, or severe colitic state (Fig. 3, C and D). If this approach can be implemented in a clinical setting where a patient’s colorectal biopsy can be rapidly analyzed for amine density, it would allow the surgeon to choose the optimal adhesive formulation on the basis of an individual patient’s needs. This practice would help prevent material failure and improve patient outcome.

Dendrimer/dextran biocompatibility was also disease−dependent as measured by the recruitment of serosal heterophil to the interface with the adhesive material (Fig. 4, B to E). Differences in disease−specific basal immunity—as evidenced by total inflammatory scores at tissue sites before injury and treatment—may explain these variable responses. Although basal immunity varies markedly in colitic versus healthy tissue, the basal inflammatory score in the cancerous state was similar to that of a healthy state (Fig. 4, B and C). These trends correlated with the levels of heterophil recruitment upon dendrimer/dextran application compared to suture application alone (Fig. 4, C and E), being higher in colitic versus cancerous tissue. Assessing both the basal immune state and disease−specific immune responses was crucial to deciphering material compatibility. Healing capacity followed the same trend in both models—although our material did not affect healing in healthy tissues, application to diseased tissues improved healing capacity. This may result in part from improved mechanical stability and alleviation of local stresses imparted by the sutures when our material was applied. For both diseases, total inflammation was identical whether dendrimer/dextran was applied or not, further supporting the role of mechanical stability in the differential healing responses.

Our data reveal the profound effect of pathological states on tissue/biomaterial interactions and material performance and increase our understanding of how biological forces manifested by disease type and severity affect dendrimer/dextran adhesive performance. With a predictive model to account for those changes, we could rationally tune our material’s adhesive strengths to be comparable to those of healthy tissue. Furthermore, changes in the tissue microenvironments altered dendrimer/dextran performance in our colon cancer and colitis models relative to healthy tissues, suggesting that an understanding of the diseased tissue microenvironment is key for successful translation.

An understanding of tissue/material interactions provides new vistas for material research and characterization of therapeutic potential. Other materials and pathologies might display determinant factors that differ from those of our model adhesive hydrogel. For instance,
materials that undergo oxidative degradation will degrade faster in the tumor environment as a result of an elevation in the concentration of reactive oxygen species. Similarly, natural materials that undergo enzymatic degradation will degrade differentially, depending on the enzyme concentrations in an inflammatory environment relative to the basal healthy state.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design
The objective of this study was to investigate the effects of two pathological states [gut inflammation (colitis), in rabbits and colon neoplasia, in rats] on dendrimer/dextran adhesion (Fig. 1, C to F), biocompatibility (Fig. 4), and healing capacity (Fig. 4). With the information obtained from the adhesion studies and exploiting the tunable properties of our material, we were able to generate a data set and establish a curve fitting with the purpose of predicting material adhesion strength under a range of inflammatory states.

A linear regression model was fit to each material formulation, and the slopes of the curves were plotted as a function of aldehyde solid content, yielding a linear relationship. The slope of a new material formulation with a defined dextran aldehyde solid content, 25%, was extrapolated from this fit. The model was validated by comparing the measured adhesion strengths using a mechanical tester with the calculated ones after ex vivo application of the 25% solid content material formulation with a defined dextran aldehyde solid content, 25%, yielding a linear relationship. The slope of a new material formulation with a defined dextran aldehyde solid content, 25%, was extrapolated from this fit. The model was validated by comparing the measured adhesion strengths using a mechanical tester with the calculated ones after ex vivo application of the 25% solid content material formulation to tissues with three different degrees of colitis severities. This model also allowed us to choose, on the basis of inflammatory disease severity, the material formulation to achieve the desired level of adhesion.

All animals (rats and rabbits) were randomly assigned to control and experimental groups, with the exception of experiments in which different strains were used as control and experimental groups [Sprague-Dawley rats as controls, which were compared with Pirc (polyposis in rat colon) rats, an experimental model of human familial colon cancer]. Sample size was determined by power analysis to achieve a minimum effect size of 0.5 with a P value of less than 0.05. Sample sizes vary between experiments and are specified in each figure caption as well as the corresponding Materials and Methods section.

Synthesis and formation of dendrimer/dextran hydrogels
Dendrimer/dextran networks were fabricated as previously described (7). Briefly, generation five polyamidoamine (PAMAM) dendrimers with 25% amine surface groups (Dendritech Inc.) were dissolved in water and mixed with a solution of oxidized dextran (50% oxidation of glucose rings, two aldehyde groups per oxidized glucose ring). The two homogeneous polymer solutions were loaded into a dual-chamber syringe equipped with an eight-step mixing tip. Dendrimer/dextran network formation occurred within seconds after mixing.

Fluorescent dendrimer amine
To characterize the dendrimer/dextran morphology at the tissue-material interface, constituent dendrimer amine was labeled with fluorescein as previously described (7). Briefly, PAMAM dendrimer (Dendritech Inc.) was mixed with fluorescein-N-hydroxysuccinimide (Life Technologies) in 50 mM bicarbonate buffer for 2 hours. Then, the product was dialyzed using a 10-kD cutoff filter and lyophilized overnight.

Colitis model in rabbits
Disease model of colitis was developed in rabbits (2.5 to 3 kg, male New Zealand White rabbits, Charles River Laboratories) by rectal instillation of DNBS (Sigma-Aldrich). Colitis induction protocol was reviewed and approved by the Committee on Animal Care at Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). DNBS in 25% ethanol (1 ml) was instilled through a urethral catheter introduced 15 cm into the rectum. Concentrations of DNBS were 150 and 75 mg/ml for severe and mild colitis induction, respectively. Animals were sacrificed 24 hours after instillation, and colon tissues were excised.

Colon cancer model in rats
Male Pirc rats (350 to 400 g, retired breeder F344/NTac-Apcam1137, Taconic) were used as colorectal cancer model, and male Sprague-Dawley rats (250 to 300 g, Charles River Laboratories) were used as control.

Tissue surface amine density
To determine the aldehyde affinity of colorectal healthy and diseased tissues, the conjugation of aldehyde-coated fluorescent microspheres (Molecular Probes) to tissue surfaces was quantified as previously described (7). For fluorescence microscopy purposes, tissue specimens were snap-frozen overnight, cryosectioned (16-μm sections), and stained with propidium iodide (Vector Laboratories). For en face amine density quantification, sections were imaged in the Xenogen IVIS device with the appropriate filter sets (n = 10 for colitis studies and n = 3 for cancer studies). The fluorescence intensity was determined by calculating the efficiency overlaying each construct, where the fluorescence intensity was corrected to eliminate tissue autofluorescence.

Adhesive interface morphology
To investigate the morphology of the interface between the adhesive and tissue surfaces, biopsied tissues were covered with 100 μl of fluorescently labeled dendrimer/dextran (dendrimer solution containing 0.5% tagged dendrimer) that was allowed to cure for 4 min. Tissue samples were snap-frozen overnight, cryosectioned (12-μm sections), and stained with propidium iodide (Vector Laboratories). The morphology of the tissue-material interface was quantified as the fluorescence intensity of fluorescein at the interface using image analysis (NIS-Elements, Nikon). The interfacial pore size and length were also measured using NIS-Elements (n = 5 for each tissue type and formulation).

Adhesion mechanics
The adhesion mechanics after dendrimer/dextran application to soft tissues was measured using an Instron mechanical tester, as previously described (7). Briefly, dendrimer/dextran was applied between two uniformly sized rat colonic tissue biopsies (6-m sections). The load response was continuously recorded to the point of macroscopic failure (n = 5 for each tissue type and formulation).

Collagen I immunofluorescence staining
Primary anti–collagen I antibody (Abcam) and Alexa Fluor 488 goat antimouse secondary antibody (Life Technologies) were used. For fluorescence microscopy purposes, tissue specimens were snap-frozen overnight and cryosectioned (12-μm sections). Immunofluorescence staining against collagen I was performed using standard methods, and tissues were stained with propidium iodide (Vector Laboratories). Collagen contents in the

submucosa and basement membrane of the colon were quantified using image analysis ($n = 5$ for each tissue type; NIS-Elements, Nikon). For en face collagen, tissues were processed and quantified as described for en face amine density ($n = 10$ for colitis studies and $n = 3$ for cancer studies).

**TNF-α immunofluorescence staining**

Primary anti-TNF-α antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G fluorescein conjugate secondary antibody (EMD Millipore) were used. Tissue specimens were processed as described for collagen immunofluorescence staining. TNF-α content in the colonic mucosa was quantified using image analysis ($n = 5$ for each tissue type; NIS-Elements, Nikon).

**Neutrophil immunofluorescence staining**

Primary anti–MAC-387 (fluorescein isothiocyanate) antibody (Abcam) was used, which recognizes the calprotectin molecule, an intracytoplasmic antigen expressed by granulocytes, monocytes, and tissue macrophages. Tissue specimens were processed as described for collagen immunofluorescence staining. Neutrophil recruitment to the submucosa and basement membrane of the colon was quantified using image analysis ($n = 5$ for each tissue type; NIS-Elements, Nikon).

**Enterotomy procedure**

Enterotomy procedures were performed in both models of colitis and colon cancer, as well as in healthy controls, by creating an incision in the colon and applying sutures to the anastomosis site with or without the application of dendrimer/dextran adhesive material. Enterotomy studies were reviewed and approved by the Committee on Animal Care at MIT. Harvested tissue was excised a week after surgery and cryosectioned to create 10-μm-thick sections. H&E staining was performed using standard methods. Histological slides were evaluated for their extent of inflammation, serosal heterophils, and serosal fibrosis using the following scores: 0, no observable change compared to the control; 1, a nearly imperceptible feature (minimal); 2, an easily identifiable or notable (mild/moderate) feature; 3, a prominent to overwhelming (marked/severe) feature ($n = 5$ for each experimental group).

**Statistical analyses**

Data are presented as means ± SD. Graphs were created using GraphPad Prism software. All statistical comparisons were performed with a two-tailed t test for independent samples using VassarStats software (Vassar College). A $P$ value of $<0.05$ was considered to denote statistical significance. Data marked with the same symbol (for example, single asterisk or double asterisk) represent values with statistical significance between them.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**
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Location, location, location
When buying a house, we research neighborhoods and carefully choose an environment that fits our personality. So why does a "one-material-fits-all" mentality dominate when choosing a biomaterial for various clinical scenarios? Now, Oliva et al. attempt to shift that mindset by defining the molecular properties of diseased-tissue microenvironments and then tuning a dendrimer/dextran-based material to thrive differentially under selected clinical conditions. By measuring tissue modifications and material performance—in two gut diseases and under varying degrees of severity—the authors unveiled contextual effects on the compatibility between a biomaterial and its neighborhood. These findings drove development of a predictive paradigm that matches a material with its model home—a practice that may one day translate to improved clinical outcomes.